Interview Summary	10/500,872	OP DEN CAMP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTIAN L. FRONDA	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Christian L. Fronda. CJJ	(3) <u>Sandy Livnat</u> . (4) <u>Ba-f</u> . Swinkels	Jan de Bont
(2) Nashaat Nashed.	(4) <u>Bat</u> Dwinkels	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2008.	the?	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: +4,6-29,24-28		
Claim(s) discussed: $\frac{F4.6-20,24-28}{Meaden ctal.}$ Bhosale etal.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) □ N	I/A
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SEE BELOW.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Applicants provided draft claims for discussion Applicants provided arguments to traverse the rejections of record. The response to the office before to be filed will be		
fully considered and will contact applicants with		
my decision to be made on the claims.		
		·

Application No.

Applicant(s)